UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,717	11/21/2003	Sung-Su Jung	8734.261.00 US	8857	
	7590	EXAMINER			
1900 K STREE	T, NW	FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/717,717		JUNG ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		William P. Fleto	her III	1792			
The MAILING DATE of th Period for Reply	is communication app	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available unde after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing d - If NO period for reply is specified above, t - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	OM THE MAILING D r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 te of this communication. he maximum statutory period of period for reply will, by statute three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire, cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS frome to become ABANDONEL	I. lely filed the mailing date of this (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in closed in accordance with	2b)∐ This n condition for allowa	s action is non-fil nce except for fo	ormal matters, pro		ne merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pended 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed by Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejection claim(s) claim(s) are subjection Papers	1-10 is/are withdrawn bewed. ected. ected to. ect to restriction and/o	n from considera					
9) The specification is object 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet 11) The oath or declaration is	is/are: a) acconat any objection to the (s) including the correct	epted or b) oldepted	d in abeyance. See he drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8922) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ing Review (PTO-948)	_	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/717,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The compliant amendment filed November 14, 2009, is noted with appreciation.

2. Claims 1-16 remain pending.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 1-10 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 22, 2006.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed June 18, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A. With respect to the newly added limitations concerning the alignment of the first and second patterns via the alignment controller using first and second image cameras, these features also form part of the prior art process disclosed by Applicant (see [0017-0018] of the specification, for example).

B. With respect to the newly added limitation concerning the size of the dummy aligning plates, there appears to be no criticality ascribed to the dummy plate area either in the cited prior art or in the invention instantly claimed. It would have been obvious to utilize as small a dummy substrate as possible in order to minimize the cost of materials involved with producing the dummy substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/717,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A. Claim 11 has been amended to recite: "wherein the first and second dummy aligning plates are smaller in area by a few times to scores of times than the substrate." This limitation, in reciting "scores of times" (i.e., multiples of 20 times) is inclusive of infinitesimally small areas and it is unclear from the specification just what the functional lower limit of the area of the dummy plate may be. As such, this lower bound is impossible to determine.

B. Claims 12-16 are similarly rejected by virtue of their incorporation of this indefinite subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 1792

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 9. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted state of the prior art in view of JP 05-107533 A.
 - A. Applicant's admitted state of the prior art, detailed in the instant specification at paragraphs 0017-0021 and Figs. 4A-4F, teaches all of the limitations of these claims with respect to a single dummy substrate, including the use of first and second alignment cameras (see paragraphs 0017-0018).
 - B. Applicant's admitted prior art does not teach the presence of a second dummy substrate.
 - C. It is the Examiner's position that, as evidenced by, for example JP 05-107533 A, cited in the IDS filed October 31, 2007, it is known in the art to provide two substrates that will be joined in opposing contact, with alignment marks. As such, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide two dummy substrates, one for each of the two substrates that will be joined in opposing contact, and to provide these with alignment marks according to the known prior art process disclosed by Applicant. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so by the desire and expectation of providing alignment marks on both dummy substrates simultaneously.
 - D. With respect to the newly added limitation concerning the size of the dummy aligning plates, there appears to be no criticality ascribed to the dummy

plate area either in the cited prior art or in the invention instantly claimed. As such, one of ordinary skill in the art would have advantageously utilized dummy plates of any suitable size. From a cost standpoint, it would have been obvious to utilize as small a dummy substrate as possible in order to minimize the cost of materials involved with producing the dummy substrate.

Page 5

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Sunday, 5:00 AM - 12:00 PM and Monday through Friday, 5:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,717 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

February 17, 2009